

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspic.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,820	07/16/2003	Aldric Kuo-Chun Lee	L, AK - 5	1239
75	90 01/13/2004		EXAM	INER
Mr. Harry C. Post, III			RICCI, JOHN A	
ROBINSON & POST, L.L.P. North Dallas Bank Tower, Suite 575			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12900 Preston Road, LB-29			3712	
Dallas, TX 75	230-1332		DATE MAILED: 01/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4 4. 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/620,820	LEE, ALDRIC KUO-CHUN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Ricci	3712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days, will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the sot or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16,18-27 and 29-31 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 17 and 28 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)						
Attachment(s) 1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Art Unit: 3712

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear if the sight mounting bracket referred to in claim 22 is the same bracket referred to in claim 19.

* * * * * *

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12-16, 18, 21, 23-27, & 29-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Pedersen 2,820,444.

Claims 18-20 & 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ellenburg et al 5,894,672.

* * * * * *

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3712

4-768

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 7-9, & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ellenburg et al in view of Pedersen.

Ellenburg shows a sling shot having a fork portion 12, 14 to which an elastic member 18, 20 is attached by means of pivotal connectors 40, 50; a gripping portion 16; and mounting brackets 41, 51 for attaching sights 62, 66 to the fork. However, the fork and gripping portion are rigidly attached, which may allow one side of the elastic member to be more stretched than the other side, resulting in poor accuracy. Pedersen shows that the fork member 10 and gripping member 16 may be pivotally connected, so it is not possible to stretch one side of the elastic member more than the other, resulting in a more accurate shot. One would be aware that this pivotal connection would be desirable in the sling shot of Ellenburg, to provide a more accurate shot. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the sling shot of Ellenburg with a pivotal connection between the fork and grip, as suggested by Pedersen.

* * * * * *

Art Unit: 3712

Claims 17 & 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

* * * * * *

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

* * * * * *

This letter was prepared by Examiner John Ricci, who can be reached at:

Voice: 703-308-4751

Fax: Use 703-872-9306 for papers to be delivered directly to the mail room, like formal amendments and responses, change of address, power of attorney, petitions.

Art Unit: 3712

Use 703-783-0439 for papers to be delivered directly to the Examiner, like informal or proposed responses for discussion, or notes in preparation for an interview.

Response by Fax is encouraged to reduce mail processing time. Please don't send duplicate papers by mail and Fax.

My supervisor is Derris Banks, 703-308-1745.

PTO main switchboard: 800-786-9199.

Visit our Web site at www.uspto.gov.

Ih più

JOHN RICCI PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3712